

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,692	07/31/2003	Yasunobu Suzuki	2003_1066A	7674	
513	7590 01/12/200	01/12/2006 EXAMINER			
	OTH, LIND & PONA	CAVALLARI, DANIEL J			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2836		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				t			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	·			
		10/630,692	SUZUKI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel J. Cavallari	2836				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	5			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI	DI VIS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA	^			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lift of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON titute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	July 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	l21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	t(s)			ļ			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/630,692

Art Unit: 2836

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figures 5, 7, 11, & 13 classified in class 307, subclass 65.
- II. Figures 6, 8, 12, & 14, classified in class 307, subclass 46.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 6 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Cavallari whose telephone number is (571)272-8541. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)272-2800 x36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DJC

January 3, 2006

SUPER COCRY PATENT EXAMINER TROUNCLOST CENTER 2000